

## JAWORSKI, MARK

---

**From:** JAWORSKI, MARK  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 11, 2015 8:24 AM  
**To:** Muniz, Nuria (Muniz.Nuria@epa.gov)  
**Subject:** FW: Inactive wells

Nuria,  
Below is the response I received from Citizens regarding their wells (see below). Will CSC require us to show the location of WR6 and RS3 since they are respectively abandoned and being used for geothermal? The same question for WR4 and WR5 since they are now being used as monitoring wells.

RS27 and RS28 are inactive because they are pending replacement and being cleaned. As depicted in Reference 29, page 4, RS27 had water production from January through August last year. RS28 had no production. Is it still ok for me to include population figures in the doc rec. for RS27.

---

**From:** McIver, Ann [mailto:AMcIver@citizensenergygroup.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 11, 2015 7:27 AM  
**To:** JAWORSKI, MARK  
**Cc:** Cummings, Kraig; Gray, Mark; Streib, Bob  
**Subject:** RE: Inactive wells

Mark:  
Here is the response back from the team on your list of wells.  
Ann

### Active Wells

RS17 - Active  
RS18 - Active  
RS19 - Active  
RS2 - Active  
RS22 - Active  
RS26 - Active  
RS27 - Inactive pending replacement  
RS29 - Active  
RS7 - Active  
RS8 - Active  
RS9 - Active  
RSA - Active  
RSB - Active  
RSC - Active  
RSD - Active  
WR3 - Active  
WR7 - Active  
WR8 - Active  
WR9 - Active  
Surface Water Intake

I assume that the following wells are inactive or off line.